IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NOS.391, 392 AND 393 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NOS.597, 598 & 599 OF 2002

Sanjay Kumar Damodar Surve..... ....Applicant.
V/s
Ganesh Ramchandra Naik & Ors ....Respondents.

Mr.B.D.Joshi, Adv. For the applicant.

Mr.M.Shah Alam Khan, Adv. For the respondent No.1.

Mr.S.S.Dube, Adv. For No.2.

Mr.M.H.Mhatre, APP for the State.

CORAM: A.P.DESHPANDE, J.
4/12/07

PC:

Writ petitions came to be dismissed for want of prosecution on account of absence of counsel for the petitioner on 16.8.06. According to the petitioner the petitioner had changed his advocate on record and when the new advocate was about to file his vakalatnama it was revealed that the writ petitions had been dismissed for want of prosecution. A prayer is made for restoration of the writ petitions in the interest of justice. For the reasons set out in the applications the impugned orders of dismissal of writ petitions for want of prosecution are set aside and writ petitions are restored to file. Applications stand disposed of accordingly.

4.12.07